		ORIGINAL	
1	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "		
2	Alison M. Miceli [CSB No. 243131] SULLIVAN & CHRISTIANI, LLP		
3	2330 Third Avenue San Diego, California 92101		
	(619) 702-6760 * (619) 702-6761 FAX		
4			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff KIMBERLY ALEKSICK		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	KIMBERLY ALEKSICK, individually and	CASE NO. 08-CV-59	
11	on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated.	(CLASS ACTION)	
12	71	PLAINTIFF'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS	
13	Plaintiff. v.		
14) Oral Argument Requested	
15	7-ELEVEN, INC., a Texas Corporation;	Document Electronically Filed	
16	MICHAEL TUCKER, an individual; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive.	March 3, 2008 Time: 8:30 a.m.	
		Dept.: 12	
17	Defendants) Judge: Hon. Napoleon A. Jones, Jr.)	
18			
19	Plaintiff Kimberly Aleksick ("Plaintiff") objects to Exhibit A attached to the Declaration of		
20	Eric Welter filed in support of Defendant 7-ELEVEN's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.		
21	DEFINITIONS		
22	For purposes of this objection, the following definitions and supporting authority shall		
23	apply where indicated herein:		
24	Authentication: Federal Rules of Evi	idence Section 901	
25	(a) The requirement of	fauthentication or identification as a condition	
26	precedent to admissibility is satisfied by evidence sufficient to		
27	support a finding that the matter in question is what its proponent		
28	claims.		
ı			

1	Foundation:	Federal Rules of Evidence Section 602
2		A witness may not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced
3		sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal
4		knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove personal knowledge
5		may, but need not, consist of the witness own testimony. This rule
6		is subject to the provisions of Rule 703, relating to opinion
7		testimony by expert witnesses.
8	Relevance:	Federal Rules of Evidence Sections 401 and 402
9		Evidence must be relevant for it to be admissible.
10	Hearsay:	A statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying
11		at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the
12		matter asserted.
13	Plaintiff objects to Exhibit A attached to the Declaration of Eric Welter filed in support of	
14	Defendant 7-ELEVEN's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as set forth below.	
15	Paragraph 2	
16	Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the franchise agreement between 7-	
17	Eleven, Inc. and Michael Tucker dated March 13, 2004, for 7-Eleven Store No. 22818. An excerpt	
18	from this document is attached to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.	
19	Grounds for Objection:	
20	Lack of authentication; lack of foundation; relevance: hearsay.	
21	Authority for Objection: Please see Definitions, pages 1-2.	
22	In Eric Welter's Declaration, he does not represent that he has "personal knowledge" of the	
23	creation and execution of the Franchise Agreement between 7-Eleven, Inc. and Michael Tucker dated	
24	March 13, 2004, for 7-Eleven Store No. 22818. As such, the document is not properly authenticated.	
25	In addition, any information Eric Welter heard from other individuals may not be inferred	
26	as it is hearsay. Mr. Welter did not draft or sign the Franchise Agreement. The only signatures that	
27	appear on the Franchise Agreement are "Tom Lesser." "Steve Bonnville." "Michael Tucker." and	
28	"Jami Tucker."	